

**Drain:** HENRY BRIGHT      **Drain #:** 192  
**Improvement/Arm:** JOHN BECK  
**Operator:** J. LIVINGSTON      **Date:** 10-29-03  
**Drain Classification:** Urban/Rural      **Year Installed:** 1902

**GIS Drain Input Checklist**

- Digitize & Attribute Tile Drains      SLM
- Digitize & Attribute Storm Drains      N/A
- Digitize & Attribute SSD      N/A
- Digitize & Attribute Open Ditch      N/A
- Sum drain lengths & Validate      JWJ
- Enter Improvements into Posse      N/A
- Enter Drain Age into Posse      JWJ
- Sum drain length for Watershed in Posse      JWJ
- Stamp Plans      N/A
- Pull Source Documents for Scanning      JWJ

**Gasb 34 Footages for Historical Cost  
Drain Length Log**

Drain-Improvement: HENRY BRIGHT - JOHN BECK

Drain Type:	Size:	Length ( )	Length (DB Query)	Length Reconcile	If Applicable	
					Price:	Cost:
TILE	14"	740'	740'		4. <sup>25</sup> /lf	3145. <sup>00</sup>
	15"	1781'	1781'		5. <sup>00</sup> /lf	8905. <sup>00</sup>
TOTAL		2521'				12050. <sup>00</sup>
ARM 1 - TILE	8"	1177'	1177'		2. <sup>00</sup> /lf	2354. <sup>00</sup>
ARM 2 - TILE	10"	1863'	1863'		3. <sup>00</sup> /lf	5589. <sup>00</sup>
ARM 3 - TILE	8"	625'	625'		2. <sup>00</sup> /lf	1250. <sup>00</sup>

Sum: 6186' 6186' \$21243.<sup>00</sup>

Final Report: 6186'

Comments:

THE REPORT OF THE DRAINAGE COMMISSIONERS AND ENGINEER IN THE MATTER OF HENRY BRIGHT ET AL TO REPAIR & EXTEND WEALE CREEK (1917) RECOMMENDS EXTENDING THE JOHN BECK DRAIN 180'. THIS IS ALSO REFERED TO IN A SURVEYORS REPORT DATED 7-23-2001. HOWEVER, SINCE THERE IS NO HISTORICAL DOCUMENTATION INDICATING THIS WAS ACTUALLY DONE AND THE ORIGINAL LOCATION DESCRIPTION PLACES THE END OF THE DRAIN AT WEALE CREEK (HENRY BRIGHT DRAIN) IT IS MY OPINION THAT THE EXTENSION WAS NOT IN FACT CONSTRUCTED. THIS EXTENSION DOES THEREFORE NOT APPEAR IN THIS DOCUMENTATION NOR IN THE ELECTRONIC ELEMENTS THAT IT REPRESENTS.

1107

No. ....

IN THE MATTER OF

John Beck

Drain

Appealed

Mar 2<sup>nd</sup> 1903

and Ditch Ordered  
Constructed

Thomas Husley

Report of Ditch Viewers

COMMISSIONERS COURT.

Filed ..... 1

Auditor

To the Honorable Board of Commissioners of

*Hamilton*

County, Indiana:

We, the undersigned, appointed by this Court, at its *December* Term, 1902, to view a drain described in the certified copy of a petition and order of Court for a *drain*, by *John Beck* and others, handed us by the Auditor, would represent that according to said order we, on the day named therein, to wit: The *15<sup>th</sup>* day of *December*, 1902, in company with *S. Shannon*, a civil engineer, made an accurate survey of the line of said proposed *drain* from its source to its outlet. A plat of said *ditch* is filed herewith, including the survey by the engineer, marked "Exhibit *B*." We caused stakes to be set along said line, each one hundred feet, numbering down stream, as shown by plat. We also made a computation of the total number of cubic yards of earth to be removed from said drain, and estimated the total cost for the construction of the work at *\$1086<sup>16</sup>/<sub>100</sub>* Dollars.

We also apportioned and set apart to each parcel of land a share of said work in proportion to the benefits received, all of which will fully appear by a tabular statement in this report. We have also set out, by a statement below, the names of the owners of lands benefited, with a description of each tract of land, and the amount assessed against each tract. The depth of cut, the width at bottom, and width at top along said drain, is also shown in a tabular statement in this report. After a full and careful view and examination, we are of the opinion that the drain, as located

by the survey, will be of *public utility and convenience, and that the cost of the construction will be less than the benefits to be derived therefrom; that the route laid out by us is practicable, and that the drainage can best be accomplished by a tile drain consisting of a main ditch, and three arms or branches: the main ditch shall consist of one row of 14 inch tile from Stake 0 to Stake 7+40, and one row of 15 inch tile from Stake 7+40 to Stake 25+5; and one row of 15 inch salt glazed sewer tile from Stake 25+5 to Stake 25+21 or terminus: Arm No. 1 shall consist of one row of 8 inch tile throughout; Arm No. 2 shall consist of one row of 10 inch tile throughout. Stone wall 18" x 5" x 2 1/2' at end of tile.*

The following statement shows who are benefited, the lands thereof and assessments thereon, location, length of each share, amount of assessments, amount of benefits, amount of dirt to be removed from each share, price thereof per cubic yard, and total cost of each share:

NAMES OF OWNERS.	DESCRIPTION OF LANDS.	Section.	Township.	Range.	Acres Assessed.	Acres Assessed.	Acres Benefited.	Acres Benefited.	Amount of Benefits.	*Amount of Assessments.	From Station.	To Station.	Feet Long.	Cubic Yards to be Removed	Price per Cubic Yard.	Cost of Construction of Share.
<i>John Beck.</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>SW</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>205</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>160</i>	<i>385.50</i>					<i>367.26</i>	<i>30 38</i>
	<i>PT E<sup>2</sup></i>	<i>SW</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>205</i>	<i>48</i>	<i>48</i>	<i>48</i>	<i>48</i>	<i>205</i>							
	<i>" SW</i>	<i>NE</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>205</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>20</i>							
<i>Jacob Roguet.</i>	<i>PT SE</i>	<i>SW</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>205</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>27.00</i>					<i>2550</i>	<i>2.13</i>
<i>James Moody.</i>	<i>SW</i>	<i>SW</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>205</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>180.00</i>	<i>180.00</i>					<i>170.30</i>	<i>14 20</i>
<i>Jacob Whistler</i>	<i>PT N<sup>2</sup> N<sup>2</sup> N<sup>2</sup></i>	<i>NE</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>205</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>22.50</i>	<i>81.00</i>					<i>7664</i>	<i>6 41</i>
	<i>SE</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>205</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>58.50</i>							
<i>Fred Neutzenholzer</i>	<i>PT SW</i>	<i>SW</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>205</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>98.00</i>	<i>98.00</i>					<i>9272</i>	<i>7 73</i>
<i>H L Lannan</i>	<i>PT NE</i>	<i>SW</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>205</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>50.00</i>	<i>50.00</i>					<i>5581</i>	<i>3 95</i>
<i>Geo Rulen</i>	<i>PT SW</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>205</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>8.00</i>	<i>8.00</i>					<i>756</i>	<i>63</i>
<i>W. H. Myers</i>	<i>PT SW</i>	<i>NE</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>205</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>6.00</i>	<i>6.00</i>					<i>5.68</i>	<i>47</i>
<i>Caroline Roguet</i>	<i>PT SW</i>	<i>SW</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>205</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>131.00</i>	<i>170.00</i>					<i>16084</i>	<i>13 42</i>
"	<i>" SW</i>	<i>SW</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>205</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>39.00</i>							
<i>Jacob Boyer.</i>	<i>PT NE</i>	<i>NE</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>205</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3.00</i>	<i>3.00</i>					<i>284</i>	<i>24</i>
<i>White River Township Highway</i>	<i>N 75. thro W<sup>2</sup> 1/2</i>	<i>W<sup>2</sup> 1/2</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>205</i>					<i>65.00</i>	<i>125.00</i>					<i>8827</i>	<i>9 86</i>
	<i>E &amp; W bet</i>	<i>W<sup>2</sup> 1/2</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>205</i>					<i>60.00</i>							
<i>Jackson Township Highway</i>	<i>BTW thro NE</i>	<i>NE</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>205</i>					<i>15.00</i>	<i>15.00</i>					<i>1479</i>	<i>1.18</i>

Viewers' Report of

Ditch.

TABULAR STATEMENT OF STATIONS, WIDTH, DEPTH, LENGTH, ETC.

STATIONS.	WIDTH AT TOP.		DEPTH OF CUT.		WIDTH AT BOTTOM.		WIDTH OF CLEARING OR TIMBER.
	Feet.	Inches.	Feet.	Fenths.	Feet.	Inches.	
<p>Location: The main ditch commences 155 feet north and 13 feet east of the southwest corner of the east half of the southwest quarter section 8 Township 20 north, range 5 east in Hamilton County Indiana, thence S 27° E. from 0 to 8+20 = 820 ft; thence S 55° E from 8+20 to 11+55 = 335 ft; thence S 31 1/2° E from 11+55 to 16+31 = 476 feet; thence S 9° E from 16+31 to 18+31 = 200 feet; thence S 15° W. from 18+31 to 21+55 = 324 feet; thence South from 21+55 to 25+21 = 366 feet and terminating in Hazel Creek, at or near a point 52 rods east and 24 rods north of the southwest corner of the east half of the northwest quarter of section 17 Township 20, north, range 5 east, in Hamilton County Indiana.</p> <p>Arm No. 1. Commences 13 feet west of the southeast corner of the northwest quarter of the southwest quarter of section 8 Township 20 north, range 5 east, thence S 2° E. from 0 to 11+77 = 1177 feet and terminating in Main ditch at Stake 0 on Main Ditch.</p> <p>Arm No. 3 commences 578 feet east, and 15 feet north of the southwest corner of the east half of the southwest quarter of section 8 Township 20 north, range 5 east, thence S 47° W. from 0 to 2+20 = 220 feet; thence S 14° W. from 2+20 to 6+25 or terminus = 405 feet and terminating in the main ditch at Stake 7+45 on main ditch.</p> <p>Arm No. 2. Commences 13 feet south of the southwest corner of the southwest quarter of section 8 Township 20 north, range 5 east thence S 88° E along south side of highway from 0 to 9 = 900 feet; thence S 45° E from 9 to 15+73 = 673 feet; thence S 85° E. from 15+73 to 18+63 = 290 feet, and terminating in Main Ditch at Stake 7+71 on Main Ditch.</p>							

GENERAL SPECIFICATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS.

The length of the ditch as located is Main Ditch, 2521 feet, Arm No. 1, 1177 feet, Arm No. 2, 673 feet; Arm No. 3, 1863 feet.

The fall of the established grade is feet, from Station to Station, is feet.

Fall of Main Ditch. = 0 to 3 = 4/100; 3 to 11 = 6/100; 11 to 17 = 9/100; 17 to 22 = 12 1/2/100; 22 to 25+21 = 35/100 ft. per 100 feet.

" " Arm No. 1 = 0 to 11+77 = 15/100; Arm No. 2 = 0 to 10 = 14/100; 10 to 18+63 = 40/100 ft " 100 "

" " " No. 3 = 0 to 6+25 = 45/100 ft per 100 feet.

The total fall is 18.80 feet to the Main Ditch.

" " " " 1.80 " " " " Arm No. 1.

" " " " 2.70 " " " " No. 2

" " " " 2.80 " " " " No. 3

Requirements: The tile used in the construction of this drain shall be first class in every particular, be laid in ditch on the proper grade, on a true line and well matched, shall be left uncovered until inspected and accepted by the County Surveyor, shall be filled with earth over and along the line of tile to the satisfaction of County Surveyor. The retaining wall at Stake 25+21 on end of tile shall be built of riberheads or field rocks laid up with mortar made from one part portland cement to three parts good sharp sand; all work and material to be subject to the inspection and acceptance of County Surveyor. The contractor shall take up the 6 inch tile now in place in Arm No. 1, as carefully as possible, and the tile when taken up shall be the property of White River Township.

We the viewers further report that in our opinion it is impracticable to allow the proposed drain for construction for the reasons that we believe the work can be done to better advantage and in less time to sell it to the best and lowest bidder, and we recommend that the Auditor sell the same in accordance with the law in such cases.

H. A. McMullon,  
John Leeving  
A. D. Barnett

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 20<sup>th</sup> day of December, 1902  
 J. H. Paul, Auditor Hamilton County.